Image Segmentation with Markov Random Fields (Part 1)

Carsten Rother







- Recap
- Higher-Order Models in Computer Vision
- Image Segmentation with Markov Random Fields



Recap: Optimization in Markov Random Fields





Recap: Visualization & cut





The minimum cut is defined by the saturated edges of the maximum flow.



24/06/2016

Recap: Alpha-Expansion: visually

• Variables take label α or retain current label

Status: Exipiantide Elkojatus e Tree





[Boykov, Veksler and Zabih 2001]

Tree

Ground

House

Sky



Recap: Examples: Order



4-connected; pairwise MRF

$$E(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i,j \in N_4} \theta_{ij}(x_i, x_j)$$

Order 2

"Pairwise energy"



higher(8)-connected; pairwise MRF

$$E(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{i,j \in N_8} \theta_{ij} (x_i, x_j)$$

Order 2



Higher-order RF



Recap: Higher-Order Optimization

<u>Usage:</u>

- "Window-based"
 - Image Restoration (de-noising, de-convolution) (better local model for texture and images)
 - Depth from Stereo, curvature model for surfaces and segmentation
 - Semantic Segmentation (Pⁿ Potts, Curvature)
- "Image-wide"
 - Connectivity of a segmentation or surface
 - Image Restoration (de-noising, de-convolution) (better global model texture and images)
 - Semantic Segmentation (co-occurance statistic)

Optimization strategies:

- Re-write higher-order energy as a pairwise energy
- Higher-order Message Passing
- Problem de-composition
- Etc.

More likely a

than a lemon

tennis ball

Recap: "Window-based": Depth from Stereo



Left stereo image



Depth map (color coded) using pairwise prior



Depth map (color coded) using 3-pixel prior



$$\theta_{ijr}(d_i, d_j, d_r) = \min(|d_i - 2d_j + d_r|, \tau)$$



Robust curvature measure

[Woodford, PAMI et al. 2009]



 $x_i \in \{1, ..., D\}$

Recap: Optimization (binary case)

• In general we cannot re-write

 $\theta(x_i, x_j, x_r)$ as $\theta(x_i, x_j) + \theta(x_i, x_r) + \theta(x_j, x_r)$ such that they are the same for all values of (x_i, x_j, x_r)

• Let us write: $\theta(x_i, x_j, x_r) = \theta_{111} x_i x_j x_r + \theta_{110} x_i x_j (1 - x_r) + \theta_{100} x_i (1 - x_j) (1 - x_r) + \dots$ $= a x_i x_j x_r + b x_i x_j + c x_i x_r + \dots + d$

Quadratic polynomial are standard pairwise terms

• The idea is to transform the 3rd order into many pairwise terms (there are many possible methods, we discuss one)



Recap: Optimization (binary case)

Transformation by "substitution"

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = ax_1x_2x_3 + bx_1x_2 + cx_2x_3 + \dots$$

Define auxiliary function:

$$D(x_1, x_2, z) = x_1 x_2 - 2x_1 z - 2x_2 z + 3z \qquad z \in \{0, 1\}$$

It is (check yourself)

$$D(x_1, x_2, z) = 0 \text{ if } x_1 x_2 = z$$

$$D(x_1,x_2,z) > 0 \text{ if } x_1x_2 \neq z$$

Apply Substitution:

 $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \min_{z} g(x_1, x_2, x_3, z) = azx_3 + bz + cx_2x_3 + ... + K D(x_1, x_2, z)$ when K is very large then $x_1x_2 = z$

Optimization problem:

$$\min_{x_1, x_2, x_3} f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \min_{x_1, x_2, x_3, z} g(x_1, x_2, x_3, z)$$

Problems:

- Does not work well in practice (see [Ishikawa CVPR '09])
- Function D is non-submodular and "K enforces this strongly"

[Rosenberg '75, Boros and Hammer '02, Ali et al. ECCV '08]



- Recap
- Higher-Order Models in Computer Vision
- Image Segmentation with Markov Random Fields



"Image-wide": Connectivity of Segmentation

Foreground object must be connected:



[Vicente et al. '08]

Global-Image Prior



Ground truth Noisy input





Results: increased pairwise strength







Image has red curve statistics



Introduce a global term, which controls the global statistic for $|x_i-x_i|$

 $x_i - x_j \rightarrow x_i - x_j$

[Woodford et. al. ICCV '09]



Example: Image Segmentation

$$\mathsf{E}(\mathsf{X}) = \sum_{i} \, \mathsf{c}_{i} \, \mathsf{x}_{i} + \sum_{i,j} \, \mathsf{d}_{ij} \, |\mathsf{x}_{i} - \mathsf{x}_{j}|$$

n = number of pixels
E:
$$\{0,1\}^n \rightarrow R$$

 $0 \rightarrow fg, 1 \rightarrow bg$



Image



Unary Cost



Segmentation

[Boykov and Jolly '01] [Blake et al. '04] [Rother et al. '04]





Patch Dictionary (Tree)



$$h(X_{p}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x_{i} = 0, i \in p \\ C_{max} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
$$C_{max} \ge 0$$



VISION LAB 24/06/2016

 $\label{eq:statestimate} \begin{array}{l} n = number \ of \ pixels \\ E \colon \{0,1\}^n \to R \\ 0 \to fg, \ 1 \to bg \end{array}$

$$E(X) = \sum_{i} c_{i} x_{i} + \sum_{i,j} d_{ij} |x_{i} - x_{j}| + \sum_{p} h_{p} (X_{p})$$

$$h(X_p) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x_i = 0, i \in p \\ C_{max} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$





 $\label{eq:statestimate} \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{n} = \mathsf{number of pixels} \\ \mathsf{E} \colon \{\mathsf{0}, \mathsf{1}\}^\mathsf{n} \to \mathsf{R} \\ \mathsf{0} \to \mathsf{fg}, \ \mathsf{1} {\to} \mathsf{bg} \end{array}$

$$E(X) = \sum_{i} c_{i} x_{i} + \sum_{i,j} d_{ij} |x_{i} - x_{j}| + \sum_{p} h_{p} (X_{p})$$



Image



Pairwise Segmentation



Final Segmentation



P^n Potts Model - Application



Image

from TextonBoost

[Shotton et al. '06]







another super-

pixelization pixelization



[Shotton et al. '06]



Robust P^n Potts Model

$$h(x_p) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x_i = 0, i \in p \\ f(\sum_i x_i) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$





Robust P^n Potts Model - Application



Image

One superpixelization

another super-

pixelization





Robust P^n Potts Model - Application







One input image

Ground truth depth

Stereo without robust Pⁿ Potts



Stereo with robust Pⁿ Potts





Very good result for e.g. Middlebury Teddy Image

[Bleyer at al. CVPR '10]



Lets define: $n = \sum_i x_i$

Optimize: $\min_{x} E'(x) = \sum_{i} \theta_{i}(x_{i}) + \sum_{i,j \in N_{4}} \theta_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j}) + E(n)$





For a binary segmentation we can enforce that in a window all pixels are more likely "all 0" or "all 1", but less likely 50% 0 and 50% 1.

Goal: convert this higher-order function into a pairwise function



Higher-order Energy:

$$\min_{x} E(n) = \min_{x} \min(c_1, c_2 n)$$

$$n = \sum_i x_i$$





Higher-order Energy:

$$\min_{x} E(n) = \min_{x} \min(c_1, c_2 n)$$
$$= \min_{x,a} ac_1 + (1-a)(c_2 n)$$

$$n = \sum_{i} x_{i}$$

 $a \in \{0,1\}, c_{2} \ge 0$





Question

What is the function $E'(n, a) = ac_1 + (1 - a)(c_2 n)$?

- 1) Submodular
- 2) Not submodular
- 3) Sometimes submodular
- 4) All of the above
- 5) I don't know

$$\begin{vmatrix} n = \sum_{i} x_{i} \\ a \in \{0, 1\}, c_{2} \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

Higher-order Energy:

$$\min_{x} E(n) = \min_{x} \min(c_1, c_2 n)$$

$$= \min_{x,a} ac_1 + (1 - a)(c_2 n)$$

$$= \min_{x,a} ac_1 + c_2 \sum_i x_i + \sum_i -c_2 x_i a$$

$$= \lim_{x,a} ac_1 + c_2 \sum_i x_i + \sum_i -c_2 x_i a$$

$$= 0$$

$$c_2 \sum_i x_i$$

$$a=0$$

$$a=1$$

$$a=1$$

$$a=1$$

$$n = \sum_i x_i \xrightarrow{3}$$

 $n = \sum_i x_i$



Higher-order Energy: $n = \sum_{i} x_{i}$ $a \in \{0,1\}, c_{3} \le 0$ $\min E(n) = \min \min(c_1, c_2 + c_3 n)$ X $= \min (1 - a)c_1 + a(c_2 + c_3 n)$ x,a $= \min c_1 - ac_1 + ac_2 + \sum_i c_3 x_i a$ x,afunction is pairwise and submodular since $c_3 x_i a \leq 0$ $c_{2} + c_{3} \sum_{i} x_{i}$ a=0 a=1







- Recap
- Higher-Order Models in Computer Vision
- Image Segmentation with Markov Random Fields



Demo







)

• Figure-Ground Segmentation (Binary Segmentation)

(often done with user interaction)



Brush input







Figure 2: *Image demonstrating how the live-wire segment adapts and snaps to an object boundary as the free point moves (via cursor move-ment). The path of the free point is shown in white. Live-wire segments from previous free point positions (t₀, t_1, and t_2) are shown in green.*

Tracing the boundary [Mortensen & Barrett, Siggraph 1995, CVPR 1999]

[Lazy Snapping; Li et al. SIGGRAPH 2004)

In this lecture series:

- Graph-cut based segmentation
- Bounding Box segmentation
- Joint estimation of appearance and segmentation
- Gaussian MRF (Random Walk versus Graph Cut)

Bounding Box input

GrabCut (Rother et al. Siggraph 2004)

- (Variational methods)



• Image Matting: Going from binary values to fractional values



[Online Benchmark for matting; Rhemann, Rother et al. CVPR 2009]

In this lecture series:

- Matting Laplacian (Gaussian MRF)



• Image Partitioning



Superpixel segmentation



Color Quantization with KMeans Clustering

Color-based segmentation

In this lecture series:

- K-means clustering
- Mean-shift
- Normalized cuts









Object-level partitioning



• All of the above exists also for Video



Video Binary segmentation

(this topic is not covered in this lecture)



• Semantic Image segmentation [TextonBoost; Shotton et al, '06]



Label each pixel with one out of 21 classes

In this lecture series:

- Covered very briefly later

What is it useful for?

- Image/Video Editing (Adobe Photoshop, ect)
- Film Industry (The Foundry)
- Semantic Segmentation is relevant for many areas:
 - Autonomous Driving
 - Augmented Reality
 - Robotics

Binary Image Segmentation

Interactive Segmentation



(user-specified pixels are not optimized for)

Two-step approach:

- 1. Modelling: Write down the model in form of an energy function
- 2. **Optimization**: find the minimal configuration of the energy



Comment on Feature for segmentation

- Image (or Video) Segmentation (Partitioning) use different types of features:
 - Position in the image (e.g. sky more likely art top of image)
 - Color
 - Texture
 - Motion (e.g. moving car)
 - Size and Orientation of super-pixels
 - Etc.







Image Segmentation





Desired binary output labeling

Input Image De with user brush strokes (blue-background; red-foreground)

We will use the following energy:



Binary Label: $x_i \in \{0,1\}$

 N_4 is the set of all neighboring pixels



Image Segmentation: Energy



Goal: formulate $E(\mathbf{x})$ such that



Solution: $\mathbf{x}^* = argmin_x E(\mathbf{x})$

Unary term





Red user labelled pixels (cross foreground; dot background)



Gaussian Mixture Model fit



Green

Foreground model is blue Background model is red

ISION LAB

Unary term



$$\theta_i(x_i = 0) =$$

$$-\log P^{red}(z_i | x_i = 0)$$

$$\theta_i(x_i = 1) =$$

$$-\log P^{blue}(z_i | x_i = 1)$$



 $\theta_i(x_i=0)$

Dark means likely background



$$\theta_i(x_i = 1)$$

Dark means likely foreground



Optimum with unary terms only $x^* = argmin_x E(x)$ $E(x) = \sum_i \theta_i(x_i)$

Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM)

- Mixture Model: $p(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} p(k) p(z|k)$
- "k" is a latent variable we are not interested in



K = 8 for each foreand background

- $k \in \{1, ..., K\}$ represents the K mixtures.
- Each mixture k is a 3D Gaussian distribution $N_k(z; \mu_k, \Sigma_k)$ where μ_k is a 3D vector and Σ_k a 3 × 3 matrix (positive-semidefinite), called covariance matrices:

$$N(z,\mu,\Sigma) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2} (z-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (z-\mu)\}$$

•
$$p(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k N_k(z; \mu_k, \Sigma_k)$$

Mixture coefficient



Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM)

- GMM probability $p(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k N_k(z; \mu_k, \Sigma_k)$
- Reminder $\int_{\text{"RGB cube"}} p(z) = 1$
- Unknown parameters: $\Theta = (\pi_1, ..., \pi_K, \mu_1, ..., \mu_K, \Sigma_1, ..., \Sigma_K)$



Fitting/Learning Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM)

- GMM probability $p(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k N_k(z; \mu_k, \Sigma_k)$
- Unknown parameters: $\Theta = (\pi_1, ..., \pi_K, \mu_1, ..., \mu_K, \Sigma_1, ..., \Sigma_K)$
- How to learn Θ given data $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$:
 - Maximum Likelihood Learning objective:

$$\Theta^* = \operatorname{argmax}_{\Theta} \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{\Theta} \left(z_i \right)$$

where z_i are all pixels to which the GMM is fitted to

- Full learning procedure: EM (see machine learning lecture ML 1)
- Next is a simplified version





A simple procedure for GMM learning /fitting

Let us introduce an assignment variable for each data point (pixel) to which Gaussian it belongs to: $k_1, ..., k_n$ where $k_i \in \{1, ..., K\}$





Extensions

- Choose *K* automatically
- Go to probabilistic version using Expectation Maximization (EM). Now k_i are "soft assignments" to all Gaussian
- Faster versions:
 - Fit GMM to all data points (fore- and background) and then only change the mixture coefficients
 - Use Histograms instead of GMMs

So far: Unary term



 $\theta_i(x_i=0)$

Dark means likely background



-80

100

-120

$$\theta_i(x_i = 1)$$

Dark means likely foreground





Optimum with unary terms only $\mathbf{x}^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x}} E(\mathbf{x})$ $E(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_i(x_i)$

Pairwise term

- We choose a so-called Ising Prior: $\theta_{ij}(x_i, x_j) = |x_i - x_j|$
- Full Energy



$$\theta_i(x_i = 1) = -\log P^{blue}(z_i | x_i = 1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_i(x_i = 0) &= \\ -\log P^{red}(z_i | x_i = 0) \end{aligned}$$



Question

<u>Question</u>: Given the energy $E(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i,j \in N_4} |x_i - x_j|$ with $x \in \{0,1\}$ Which labelling has lowest energy?









Solution A

Solution B

Solution C

Solution D

Possible Answers:

- 1) Solution A
- 2) Solution B
- 3) Solution A and B
- 4) Solution C
- 5) Solution D
- 6) I don't know

This models makes the assumption that the object is spatially coherent



Question

<u>Question</u>: Given the energy $E(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i} \theta_{i}(x_{i}) + \omega \sum_{i,j \in N_{4}} |x_{i} - x_{j}|$; $x \in \{0,1\}$ Please guess what ω_{1}, ω_{2} could be?



Possible Answers:

1)
$$\omega_1 = 10; \ \omega_2 = 40$$

2) $\omega_1 = \omega_2$
3) $\omega_1 = 30; \ \omega_2 = 20$
4) I don't know

Adding Unary and Pairwise term



Energy: $E(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i} \theta_i(x_i) + \omega \sum_{i,j \in N_4} |x_i - x_j|$



24/06/2016

Question

<u>Question</u>: Given the energy $E(x) = \sum_{i,j \in N_4} |x_i - x_j|$ with $x \in \{0,1\}$. Given the 5x5 pixel image below, where several pixels have been assigned a labelling and others not. You have to fill in the remaining labels. How many corners has the labelling with minimal energy?

0	0	0	0	0
1				0
1				0
1				0
1	1	1	1	0



Example: segmentation with 4 corners



Possible Answers:

- 1) 4 corners
- 2) 6 corners
- 3) 10 corners
- 4) There is no unique answer
- 5) I don't know





4 corners, 8 edges cut 10 corners, 8 edges cut



Is it the best we can do?



4-connected segmentation





zoom





Zoom-in on image





Question

<u>Question</u>: Given the energy $E(x) = \sum_{i,j \in N_8} |x_i - x_j|$ with $x \in \{0,1\}$. Given the 5x5 pixel image below, where several pixels have been assigned a labelling and others not. You have to fill in the remaining labels. How many corners has the labelling with minimal energy?

0	0	0	0	0
1				0
1				0
1				0
1	1	1	1	0



Example: segmentation with 4 corners



Possible Answers:

- 1) 4 corners
- 2) 6 corners
- 3) 10 corners
- 4) There is no unique answer
- 5) I don't know

0	0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1	0
1	1	1	1	0
1	1	1	1	0
1	1	1	1	0

0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	0
1	1	1	0	0
1	1	1	1	0

4 corners, 8+13 edges cut 10 corners, 8+7 edges cut



From 4-connected to 8-connected Factor Graph



4-connected





8-connected



Larger connectivity can model true Euclidean length (also other metric possible)

[Boykov et al. '03; '05]



Going to 8-connectivty





4-connected Euclidean



8-connected Euclidean (MRF)

Is it the best we can do?



Zoom-in image

Adapt the pairwise term



$$\theta_{ij}(x_i, x_j) = |x_i - x_j| (exp\{-\beta(z_i - z_j)^2\})$$

where $\beta \geq 0$ is a constant





Standard 4-connected



Edge-dependent 4-connected





Optimization

• The defined Energy can be solved globally optimally with graph cut since submodularity condition is satisfied

Energy: $E(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i} \theta_{i}(x_{i}) + \sum_{i,j \in N_{4}} \theta_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j})$ $\theta_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j}) = |x_{i} - x_{j}|(exp\{-\beta(z_{i} - z_{j})^{2}\}))$ where $\beta \ge 0$ is a constant

• Submodularity condition: for all i, j it is: $\theta_{ij}(1,0) + \theta_{ij}(0,1) \ge \theta_{ij}(0,0) + \theta_{ij}(1,1)$ A simple semantic segmentation system

$$E(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{i} \theta_{i}(x_{i}) + \sum_{i,j \in N_{4}} |x_{i} - x_{j}| (exp\{-\beta(z_{i} - z_{j})^{2}\})$$

where $\beta \geq 0$ is a constant

Unaries are from a fully convolutional Neural Network:



Optimization is done with alpha expansion:









Dense CNN Dense CNN with MRF



Extension: Fully connected CRFs

$$E(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i} \theta_{i}(x_{i}) + \sum_{i < j} w_{ij} |x_{i} - x_{j}|$$
$$w_{ij} = \exp\left\{-|p_{i} - p_{j}|^{2}/\lambda_{1}\right\} + \exp\left\{-|p_{i} - p_{j}|^{2}/\lambda_{2} - |I_{i} - I_{j}|^{2}/\lambda_{3}\right\}$$

Spatial distance

contrast-dependent

All pixels are connected:





Comment: Fully connected CRFs

[Krähenbühl, Koltun, NIPS 2011]



- Optimization is only approximate
- The fully connected CRF can also be written as "unrolled inference" at the end of an fully convolutional neural network

